arXiv:1607.07345v2 [math.GR] 22 Aug 2016

THEORY OF DISGUISED-GROUPS

EDUARDO BLANCO GÓMEZ

Abstract. In this paper we define a new algebraic object: the disguised-groups. We show the main properties of the disguised-groups and, as a consequence, we will see that disguised-groups coincide with regular semigroups. We prove many of the results of the theory of groups adapted to this case and some unknown results in the theory of groups and regular semigroups.

1. Introduction

In this paper it is defined and developed a new algebraic structure, disguised-groups. This new object lives between semigroups and groups and it is called like that because there are many traditional properties of groups that turn disguised-groups on groups. This is a new algebraic structure because its definition does not coincide with the monoid and, in fact, a disguised-group is never just a monoid because, as we will see later, a disguised-group that is simultaneously a monoid is, in fact, a group. The most similar known algebraic structure to the disguised-groups are regular semigroups; we will see that one can not deduce the equality of both algebraic objects just from the definition of disguised-groups. In some sense, disguised-groups are groupoids for which the binary operation can be done with every couple of elements.

From the topological point of view, the use of the theory of groups is very extended into the algebraic topology but the use of groupoids not. One of the reasons is that the groupoids are more complicated algebraic structures and is more difficult to obtain results (see [2]). From this point of view, we propose the disguised-groups like a good tool to attack problems into algebraic topology as it is shown in [1].

For information about the theory of groups we suggest references [4], [5] and [7].

2. Disguised-groups and disguised-subgroups

Definition 2.1. Let G be a set and $*$ a binary operation in G. An element $id \in G$ is an **identity** if for some $g \in G$ we have $id * g = g$ or $g * id = g$; if $id * g = g$ we will say that the element id is a left identity for g and if $g * id = g$ we will say that the element id is a right identity for g.

An element $g_2 \in G$ is an **inverse** for an element $g_1 \in G$ if $g_1 * g_2 = id$ or $g_2 * g_1 = id$ for some id an identity of g_1.

Definition 2.2. Let G be a set with $*$ a binary operation in G. We will call $(G, *)$ a **disguised-group** if the next four conditions hold,

(a) $*$ is a closed operation in G, i.e., for every $g_1, g_2 \in G$ we have that $g_1 * g_2 \in G$.

(b) $*$ is an associative operation in G, i.e., for every $g_1, g_2, g_3 \in G$, we have that,

$$(g_1 * g_2) * g_3 = g_1 * (g_2 * g_3).$$

(c) For every $g \in G$ there exist some id a right identity for g and some id' a left identity for g.

(d) Every element $g \in G$ has, at least, one inverse and every inverse $g^{-1} \in G$ of an element $g \in G$ is such that,

$$g^{-1} * g = id \qquad \text{for some } id \text{ a right identity for } g$$
$$g * g^{-1} = id' \qquad \text{for some } id' \text{ a left identity for } g.$$

Looking at the definition of disguised-group one can realize some interesting things. The first one is that the difference between a group and a disguised-group is that, taking one element of the disguised-group, the identities on the right and on the left can be different between them and among the identities of the other elements of the disguised-group; from the definition one deduces that the category (later we will describe the morphisms) of disguised-groups include the category of groups so the first one is not empty. A natural question is if one can find a disguised-group that is not a group; in paper [1] we are going to define a disguised-group that does not seem to be a group (though in fact it is). The second interesting thing one can realize from the definition of disguised-groups is their relation with monoids. In a monoid there is a unique identity on the right and on the left and the identity is unique for all the elements of the monoid. This fact is what we refer before: in a monoid every element has a unique right identity and a unique left identity and they are equal, so a disguised-group that is a monoid is a group. The most similar known algebraic structure to the disguised-groups are regular semigroups; from definition 2.2 one can not deduce that disguised-groups are exactly regular semigroups. The difference lies in (d) of definition 2.2; from definition of regular semigroups, see [3] or [6], identities should hold (c) and (d) of definition 2.2 both at the same time; that is not a condition for the identities of an element g of a disguised-group.

Let's denote $I(G)$ the set of the identities in G. Let $g \in G$; we will denote $I_R(g)$ the set of the right identities of g and $I_L(g)$ the set of the left identities of g.

We are going to prove a lemma that will help us to state the important **inverse identity relations**.

Remark 2.3. Looking at definition 2.2 from the point of view of an inverse $g^{-1} \in G$ of an element $g \in G$ we have that every $id \in I_R(g)$ for

which $g^{-1} * g = id$ is such that $id \in I_L(g^{-1})$ and every $id' \in I_L(g)$ for which $g * g^{-1} = id'$ is such that $id' \in I_R(g^{-1})$. We require more work to prove the inverse statement.

Lemma 2.4. *Let $(G, *)$ be a disguised-group. Let $g \in G$, $id_1 \in I_R(g)$ be such that $g^{-1} * g = id_1$. Then $g^{-1} * g = id_2$ for every $id_2 \in I_R(g)$ (for $I_L(g)$ the lemma is analogue).*

Proof. As $g^{-1} * g = id_1$, by the associative property (b) of definition 2.2,

$$g^{-1} * g = id_1 \overset{*id_2}{\Longrightarrow} g^{-1} * g = id_1 * id_2.$$

Suppose now that $g^{-1} * g \neq id_2$. Using associative property (b) of definition 2.2 and remark 2.3,

$$g^{-1} * g \neq id_2 \overset{id_1*}{\Longrightarrow} id_1 * (g^{-1} * g) \neq id_1 * id_2 \Rightarrow g^{-1} * g \neq id_1 * id_2,$$

and this is clearly a contradiction with the beginning of the proof. □

From the definition of disguised-group and the last lemma we have the **inverse identity relations**,

$$(1) \qquad \begin{cases} I_R(g) = I_L(g^{-1}) \\ I_L(g) = I_R(g^{-1}) \end{cases} \qquad \forall g \in G \text{ and } \forall g^{-1} \text{ an inverse of } g.$$

Definition 2.5. Let $(G, *)$ be a disguised-group. For every $g \in G$ and every $n \in \mathbb{N}$ we define,

$$g^n = g * \underset{n-\text{times}}{\ldots} * g.$$

A positive integer $n \in \mathbb{N}$ is the **order** of the element g if it is the minimum $n \in \mathbb{N}$ for which $g^n = id$ for some $id \in I(G)$. If it does not exist such positive integer then we will say that the element $g \in G$ is of **infinite order**.

A disguised-group G is said to be **cyclic** if there exists $g \in G$ such that,

$$G = \{g^n : \ n \in \mathbb{N}\}.$$

A disguised-group $(G, *)$ is abelian if every two elements conmute, i.e.,

$$g_1 * g_2 = g_2 * g_1 \qquad \forall g_1, g_2 \in G.$$

The most of the results of this section 2 are known in the literature of regular semigroups (see [3] and [6]) excepting proposition 2.11 that seems to be new.

Into the next proposition we will prove some properties of the disguised-groups that arise from the definition. One of them very surprising is the last one, the cancellative property. We say "surprising" because, as in other algebraic structures (see, e.g., Grothendiek's group -see page 40 of [7]-), the cancellative property improve the algebraic object. In this case, if the cancellative property holds, a disguised-group turns into a group.

Proposition 2.6. *Let $(G, *)$ be a disguised-group. Then, the following properties hold,*

(a) Let $g \in G$. Then its right identity and its left one are unique. Moreover, if there exists an element $g \in G$ such that $I_R(g) = I(G)$ (or $I_L(g) = I(G)$) then G is a group.

(b) $id = id^n$, $\forall id \in I(G)$ and $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}$. Particularly, an identity has itself as a right and left inverse and identity.

(c) For every $g \in G$ its inverse is unique. Moreover, $(g^{-1})^{-1} = g$ with $(g^{-1})^{-1}$ the inverse element of g^{-1} and we can extend definition 2.5 and case (c) to every $n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$.

(d) Let $g \in G$ be an element of finite order $n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$. Then its right and left identities are equal and its inverse is g^{n-1}.

(e) $\forall g_1, g_2 \in G$,

$$I_R(g_1 * g_2) = I_R(g_2) \qquad \text{and} \qquad I_L(g_1 * g_2) = I_L(g_1).$$

(f) If there is a unique right identity or a unique left identity for all $g \in G$, then G is a group.

(g) Suppose it is true that,

$$\text{If } g_1 * g_2 = g_1 * g_3 \Rightarrow g_2 = g_3 \qquad \forall g_1, g_2, g_3 \in G,$$

or,

$$\text{If } g_2 * g_1 = g_3 * g_1 * g_3 \Rightarrow g_2 = g_3 \qquad \forall g_1, g_2, g_3 \in G,$$

then G is a group.

Proof. (a) Let $id_1, id_2 \in I_L(g)$ then by lemma 2.4,

$$id_1 = g * g^{-1} = id_2.$$

For the right identity is analogue. By uniqueness of left and right identities we have that $|I_R(g)| = 1$ and $|I_L(g)| = 1$. So, looking at definition 2.2, if $I_R(g) = I(G)$ or $I_L(g) = I(G)$, then the identity element in G would be unique so G would be a group.

(b) Let $id \in I(G)$. Without loss of generality (using the inverse identity relations (1)), it exists $g \in G$ such that $id \in I_R(g)$. Then $g * id = g$. By induction, suppose that the statement (b) is true for all natural numbers lower than n. Then, using the associative property of definition 2.2 and by the hypothesis of induction,

$$g * id^n = (g * id^{n-1}) * id = g * id = g.$$

Therefore, by (a) we have $id = id^n$ $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}$. (c) Let $g_1 \in G$ and $g_2, g_3 \in G$ two inverses of g_1. Let $id \in I_R(g_1)$. Then by lemma 2.4,

$$g_2 * g_1 = id = g_3 * g_1 \overset{*g_2}{\Longrightarrow} (g_2 * g_1) * g_2 = (g_3 * g_1) * g_2.$$

Let $id' \in I_L(g_1)$. Using associative property of definition 2.2, the definition of identity and lemma 2.4,

$$g_2 * id' = g_3 * id'.$$

Now the inverse identity relations (1) lead us to,

$$g_2 = g_3.$$

To prove $(g^{-1})^{-1} = g$ it is just necessary to know that $(g^{-1})^{-1}$ is an inverse of g^{-1} (this is by hypotheses), because in that case, as g is an inverse of g^{-1} too, and we have proved before that the inverse is unique, the equality holds.

(d) First of all it is important to say that in every disguised-group G there are elements with finite order because the identities have order 1 by (b) of this proposition 2.6. Then, using the definition 2.5, proposition 2.6 (c) and the equalities $g^{n-1} * g = g^n = id$ and $g * g^{n-1} = g^n = id$ for some $id \in I(G)$ we conclude that the inverse of g is g^{n-1}. Using the same equalities we obtain that $id \in I(G)$ is a right and a left identity of g so by (a) of this proposition 2.6 the proof of (d) is finished.

(e) We will prove the equality for the right identity set and the other is analogue. Let $id \in I_R(g_2)$, then, from the associative property of definition 2.2,

$$(g_1 * g_2) * id = g_1 * (g_2 * id) = g_1 * g_2.$$

Now we just need to apply property (a) of this proposition 2.6.

(f) Let $id \in I(G)$ be the unique right identity of the disguised-group. Take $g \in G$. Using the hypotheses, $id \in I_R(g) \cap I_R(g^{-1})$. By the inverse identity relations $id \in I_L(g^{-1}) \cap I_L(g)$. Like the last deduction is valid $\forall g \in G$ then $id = id' \quad \forall id' \in I(G)$ a left identity, by (a), so we conclude that G is a group.

(g) We will prove the first case because the second one is analogue. Suppose that,

$$\text{If } g_1 * g_2 = g_1 * g_3 \Rightarrow g_2 = g_3 \qquad \forall g_1, g_2, g_3 \in G.$$

As $(G, *)$ is a disguised-group, for every triad $g_1, g_2, g_3 \in G$ with $g_2 \neq g_3$, there exist $g, g' \in G$ such that,

$$\begin{cases} g = g_1 * g_2 \\ g' = g_1 * g_3 \end{cases} \overset{\text{hypotheses of (g)}}{\Longrightarrow\Longrightarrow} g \neq g'.$$

Then the disguised-group G stays invariant by the left action of g_1, for every $g_1 \in G$, i.e., for every $g \in G$ it exists $g' \in G$ such that $g = g_1 * g'$. Let fix a $g_1 \in G$ with $id \in I_L(g_1)$. Then looking at (e) of this proposition 2.6, $id = I_L(G)$. But now, using (f) of this proposition 2.6 we conclude that G is a group. □

Remark 2.7. After proposition 2.6 we have the next equalities,

$$\begin{cases} \text{From (a) proposition 2.6 : Disguised-groups} = \text{Regular semigroups} \\ \text{From (c) proposition 2.6 : Disguised-groups} = \text{Inverse semigroups} \end{cases}$$

$$\Rightarrow \text{Regular semigroups} = \text{Disguised-groups} = \text{Inverse semigroups},$$

just looking in references [3] and [6] the definition of regular and inverse semigroups. We will mantain the name of disguised-groups for the shake of clearness and having account that the equality proved in this remark does not come directly from definition 2.2.

From now til the end we will use the following notation: let $g \in G$, we will denote $id_R(g)$ and $id_L(g)$ for its right and left identities respectively (they are unique by (a) of proposition 2.6) and g^{-1} for its inverse (it is unique by (c) of proposition 2.6).

One important difference of disguised-groups comparing them with groups is that the set of identities is not necessarily closed by the operation $*$. This fact generates a lot of problems when we want to prove the results of the theory of groups for disguised-groups. However, the most of the concepts and results of groups theory can be obtained without a big effort. For some of the traditional results we need several surprising theorems for disguised-groups. Let's define the concept of disguised-subgroup.

Definition 2.8. Let $(G, *)$ be a disguised-group. A subset $Q \subset G$ is a disguised-subgroup if the following three conditions hold,

(a) $*$ is a closed operation in Q.

(b) For every $g \in Q$, $id_R(g), id_L(g) \in Q$.

(c) For every $g \in Q$, $g^{-1} \in Q$.

If the identity is unique $\forall g \in Q$ then we will say that Q is a subgroup of the disguised-group $(G, *)$.

It is not necessary to demand the associative property of $*$ to a disguised-subgroup because this property holds just with the condition $Q \subset G$. There exist trivial disguised-subgroups into every group. Take $g \in G$, then the subsets $\{id_R(g)\}$ and $\{id_L(g)\}$ are disguised-subgroups (in fact subgroups) because of (b) and (c) of proposition 2.6. It is not true that, in general, $I(G)$ (or some of its subsets with more than one element) is a disguised-subgroup because it is not possible to prove that the operation $*$ is closed into a subset of identities of G.

Let's see some examples of disguised-subgroups into a disguised-group. Let $g \in G$ and let,

$$Q_g = \{g' \in G : \ \{id_R(g'), id_L(g')\} = \{id_R(g), id_L(g)\}\}.$$

It is easy to see that Q is a disguised-subgroup of G just using properties (d) and (b) of proposition 2.6 and the inverse identity relations (1). If G is a disguised-group that is not a group, then it exists, at least, one element $g \in G$ for which $id_R(g) \neq id_L(g)$; the set Q_g for that g, is a disguised-subgroup that is not a subgroup of G.

Another example is the following one. Let $g \in G$ be an element of finite order n. Let $Q_g = \{g^k : \ k \in \{1, \ldots, n\}\} \cup \{id(g)\}$. Let's see Q_g is a subgroup of G. Let's prove first the condition (b) in the definition 2.8. By (b) of proposition 2.6 the right and left identities

of g are equal. Let $k \in \{1, \dots, n\}$; then the element $g^k \in Q_g$ has the same right and left identities of g just using (a) of proposition 2.6, the equalities $g^k = g * g^{k-1}$ and $g^k = g^{k-1} * g$ and (e) of proposition 2.6. The operation $*$ is closed in Q_g because, for every $k_1, k_2 \in \mathbb{Z}^+$, using associative property of $*$,

$$\begin{aligned} g^{k_1} * g^{k_2} &= (g *\underset{k_1-\text{times}}{\dots} * g) * (g *\underset{k_2-\text{times}}{\dots} * g) \\ &= (g *\underset{(k_1+k_2)-\text{times}}{\dots} * g) = g^{k_1+k_2}. \end{aligned}$$

Moreover, if $k_1 + k_2 > n$ we have that,

$$g^{k_1+k_2} = g^{k_1+k_2-n} * g^n = g^{k_1+k_2-n} * id = g^{k_1+k_2-n} \in G,$$

because we have proved before that the identity for all the elements of the set Q_g is the same and $k_1+k_2 \leq 2n$. Let's prove condition (c) in the definition 2.8 of disguised-subgroup; let $k \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ and $g^k \in Q_g$. Then, as g has finite order n,

$$g^k * g^{n-k} = g^n = id(g), \quad \text{and} \quad , \ g^{n-k} * g^k = g^n = id(g),$$

so using (c) of 2.6, g^{n-k} is the inverse of g^k, for all $k \in \{1, \dots, n\}$. Then Q_g is a subgroup of G.

In the next proposition we give a criteria (shorter than definition) for a subset Q of a disguised-group G to be a disguised-subgroup.

Proposition 2.9. *Let $(G, *)$ be a disguised-group and $Q \subset G$. Then,*

$$Q \text{ is a disguised-subgroup } \Leftrightarrow q_1 * q_2^{-1} \in Q \quad \forall q_1, q_2 \in Q.$$

Proof. ($\Rightarrow$) Suppose Q is a disguised-subgroup of G. If $q_1, q_2 \in Q$ then by condition (c) in the definition 2.8, $q_2^{-1} \in Q$, so by condition (a) in the definition 2.8, $q_1 * q_2^{-1} \in Q$.

($\Leftarrow$) Suppose $q_1 * q_2^{-1} \in Q$ $\forall q_1, q_2 \in Q$. Let $q \in Q$, then $q * q^{-1} \in Q$ so $id_L(q) \in Q$. As $id_L(q), q \in Q$ then $q^{-1} * id_L(q) \in Q$ so using inverse identity relations (1) $q^{-1} \in Q$. Like $q^{-1} \in Q$ using (c) of proposition 2.6, $q^{-1} * (q^{-1})^{-1} \in Q$ so $id_R(q) = q^{-1} * q = q^{-1} * (q^{-1})^{-1} \in Q$. Finally, $*$ is a closed operation in Q because if $q_1, q_2 \in Q$ we have proven before that $q_2^{-1} \in Q$, and remembering (c) of proposition 2.6 $q_1 * q_2 = q_1 * (q_2^{-1})^{-1} \in Q$. □

Remark 2.10. This remark aims to show an important fact we are going to use frequently later. Most of the properties and results of groups can be extended to disguised-groups with a little bit of work. Here, we prove an elementary fact that is obvious for groups but not so obvious for disguised-groups (however quite easy to see). Let $(G, *)$ be a disguised-group and Q a disguised-subgroup. Let $id \in I(G) \cap Q$. We are going to prove the **invariance of a disguised-subgroup under**

its own identities, i.e.,

$$\begin{cases} Q = \{q * id : \ q \in Q\} \\ Q = \{id * q : \ q \in Q\}. \end{cases} \tag{2}$$

Let's prove the first equality (the second one is analogue). It is obvious that $\{q * id : \ q \in Q\} \subset Q$ because $id \in Q$. Suppose there is a $q' \in Q$ such that $q' \neq q * id$ for all $q \in Q$; then operating on the right by $*id$ and using associativity and (b) of proposition 2.6,

$$q' * id \neq (q * id) * id = q * (id * id) = q * id,$$

so $q' * id \neq q * id$ for all $q \in Q$. As this is clearly false, we conclude that $q' \in \{q * id : \ q \in Q\}$ so $Q \subset \{q * id : \ q \in Q\}$.

The next proposition is an specific result of the disguised-groups theory because it does not exist in groups theory. The main idea is that, due to the multiplicity of identities, despite the fact that a disguised-group has a disguised-subgroup, there can be identities of the disguised-group which are not in the disguised-subgroup. Let $(G, *)$ be a disguised-group and $Q, S \subset G$. We define,

$$Q * S = \{q * s : \ q \in Q \text{ and } s \in S\}.$$

Proposition 2.11. *Let $(G, *)$ be a disguised-group, let $Q \subset G$ be a disguised-subgroup and let's define,*

$$S = \{g \in G \setminus Q : \ id_R(g), id_L(g) \in G \setminus Q\}.$$

Then, the following statements hold,

(1) If $I(G) \subseteq Q$ then $S = \emptyset$.

(2) If $I(G) \nsubseteq Q$ we have:

(i) $S \neq \emptyset$ and S is a disguised-subgroup of G.

(ii) $Q*S$ and $S*Q$ are subsets of $G\setminus(Q\cup S)$ and all $g \in G\setminus(Q\cup S)$ has its inverse into $G \setminus (Q \cup S)$.

(iii) $Q * (G \setminus Q)$ and $(G \setminus Q) * Q$ are subsets of $G \setminus S$.

Proof. Suppose that $I(G) \nsubseteq Q$.

Let's prove (i). Let $id \in I(G)$ but $id \notin Q$. We have $id \in S$ because $id \in G \setminus Q$ and (a) of proposition 2.6. Let's see S is a disguised-subgroup. The operation $*$ is closed in S just using its definition and (e) proposition 2.6. Let $s \in S$, then s has its identities into S by definition and so it does $s^{-1} \in S$ thanks to inverse identity relations (1).

Let's prove (ii). Let $q \in Q$ and $s \in S$. Then both elements $q * s$ and $s * q$ has exactly an identity in Q and an identity in S using (e) from proposition 2.6. So by definition of S none of them can be into it and, as Q is a disguised-group, all its elements has their identities into Q, so, like the identities are unique by (a) of proposition 2.6, and, $S \cap Q = \emptyset$, then $Q * S$ and $S * Q$ are subsets of $G \setminus (Q \cup S)$. Let $g \in G\setminus(Q\cup S)$. If $g^{-1} \in Q$ or $g^{-1} \in S$, as Q and S are disguised-groups,

remembering (c) from proposition 2.6 we would have $g = (g^{-1})^{-1} \in Q$ or $g = (g^{-1})^{-1} \in S$ and this is a contradiction.

Let's prove (iii). Let $q \in Q$ and $g \in G \setminus Q$. Then both elements $q * g$ and $g * q$ has an identity in Q by (e) of proposition 2.6. Then those elements can not be in S by definition so they have to be in $G \setminus S$. □

3. Normal and disguised-normal disguised-subgroups

The concepts and results exposed in this section are new in the theory of regular semigroups. At this moment we are going to define a very useful concept in groups theory that is going to be very important too in disguised-groups theory.

Definition 3.1. A disguised-subgroup Q of a disguised-group $(G, *)$ is **normal** if $\forall g_1, g_2 \in G$ and $\forall q_1, q_2 \in Q$ we have that,

$$(g_1 * q_1) * (g_2 * q_2) = q_3 * (g_1 * g_2),$$

for some $q_3 \in Q$.

It is not possible, in general, to find normal disguised-subgroups because the trivial example in groups theory here does not work due to the multiplicity of the identities. However, the existence of normal disguised-subgroups into a disguised-group produces some effects on the disguised-group so, for the future, we are going to prove a criteria (shorter and more useful than definition) for a subset Q of a disguised-group G to be a normal disguised-subgroup.

Lemma 3.2. *Let $(G, *)$ be a disguised-group and Q a disguised-subgroup. If Q is normal, then $I(G) \subset Q$.*

Proof. Let $id \in I(G)$, then id is the right or the left identity for some element $g \in G$; without loss of generality, by the inverse identity relations (1), we can suppose it exists $g \in G$ such that $id = id_R(g)$. By definition 3.1,

$$(g_1 * q_1) * (g * q_2) = q_3 * (g_1 * g) \quad \forall g_1 \in G \text{ and } \forall q_1, q_2 \in Q.$$

But the element of the right hand side has right identity id by (e) of proposition 2.6 and the element of the left hand side has right identity in Q by (e) of proposition 2.6. As both sides are equal and the right identity is unique for every element of a disguised-subgroup (by (a) of proposition 2.6) then $id \in Q$.

□

Proposition 3.3. *Let $(G, *)$ be a disguised-group and Q a disguised-subgroup of G. Then the following conditions are equivalent,*

(i) Q is a normal disguised-subgroup of G.

(ii) $g * q * g^{-1} \in Q \quad \forall g \in G$ and $\forall q \in Q$.

(iii) For every $q_1 \in Q$ it exists $q_2 \in Q$ such that,

$$g * q_1 = q_2 * g \qquad \forall g \in G,$$

and for every $q_2 \in Q$ it exists $q_1 \in Q$ such that,

$$q_2 * g = g * q_1 \qquad \forall g \in G.$$

Proof. We are going to prove the implications (i)⇒(ii)⇒(iii)⇒(i).

Suppose Q is a normal disguised-subgroup of G. Let $g \in G$ and g^{-1} be its inverse. By lemma 3.2 $I(G) \subset Q$; as Q is normal then for every $g \in G$ and for every $q \in Q$, there exist $q' \in Q$ such that $g * q * g^{-1} = (g * q) * (g^{-1} * id_R(g^{-1})) = q' * (g * g^{-1}) = q' * id_L(g) \in Q$.

Suppose $g * q * g^{-1} \in Q \quad \forall g \in G$ and $\forall q \in Q$. First of all, let's prove with that hypotheses and Q being a disguised-subgroup, we have $I(G) \subset Q$. Suppose there is an identity $id \in I(G) \setminus Q$; using (b) and (e) of proposition 2.6 the element $id * q * id^{-1} = id * q * id$ has left and right identity $id \notin Q$ so $id * q * id^{-1} \notin Q$, as Q is a disguised-subgroup, and this is a contradiction with the hypotheses of this case. Then $I(G) \subset Q$. Now $\forall g \in G$ and $\forall q \in Q$, it exists q' such that $g * q * g^{-1} = q'$. But by associativity,

$$g * q * g^{-1} = q' \overset{*g}{\Rightarrow} g * q * id_R(g) = q' * g.$$

The element $q * id_R(g)$ takes all the values of Q when q does, by the invariance of a disguised-subgroup under its own identities 2.10, so taking $q_1 = q * id_R(g)$, we have that $\forall g \in G$ and $\forall q_1 \in G$ it exists $q_2 \in Q$ such that $q * q_1 = q_2 * g$ just taking $q_2 = q'$.

Suppose that for every $q_1 \in Q$ it exists $q_2 \in Q$ such that,

$$g * q_1 = q_2 * g \qquad \forall g \in G.$$

Take $g_1, g_2 \in G$ and $q_1, q_2 \in Q$. Then by hypothesis, there exist $q_1', q_2' \in Q$ such that,

$$\begin{aligned}(g_1 * q_1) * (g_2 * q_2) &= (q_1' * g_1) * (g_2 * q_2) = q_1' * (g_1 * g_2) * q_2 \\ &= q_1' * q_2' * (g_1 * g_2) = (q_1' * q_2') * (g_1 * g_2).\end{aligned}$$

With this we have proven that $\forall g_1, g_2 \in G$ and $\forall q_1, q_2 \in Q$ we have that,

$$(g_1 * q_1) * (g_2 * q_2) = q_3 * (g_1 * g_2),$$

for some $q_3 \in Q$. Now take $g_1, g_2 \in G$ and $q \in Q$. Then by hypothesis there exist $q_1', q_2' \in Q$ such that,

$$\begin{aligned}q * (g_1 * g_2) &= id_L(q) * q * (g_1 * g_2) = id_L(q) * (g_1 * g_2) * q_2' \\ &= (id_L(q) * g_1) * (g_2 * q_2') = (g_1 * q_1') * (g_2 * q_2').\end{aligned}$$

so we have proven that $\forall g_1, g_2 \in G$ and $\forall q_3 \in Q$ we have that,

$$q_3 * (g_1 * g_2) = (g_1 * q_1) * (g_2 * q_2),$$

for some $q_1, q_2 \in Q$. □

The next theorem explains very good the name of disguised-groups given to this new algebraic object.

Theorem 3.4. *Let $(G, *)$ be a disguised-group. Then,*

(a) If it exists $g \in G$ such that g conmutes with every element of the disguised-group then G is a group. Particularly, if G is abelian, then G is a group.

(b) If G is cyclic then G is a group.

(c) If G contains a normal disguised-subgroup then G is a group.

Proof. (a) Suppose that it exists $g \in G$ such that g conmutes with every element of the disguised-group. It is just necessary to prove that there is a unique identity for all the elements of the disguised-group. Particularly, g conmute with its inverse so the right and left identities of g are equal, i.e., $id_R(g) = id_L(g) = id$. Let $g' \in G$ different from g and with right and left identities $id_R(g')$ and $id_L(g')$ respectively. From (e) of proposition 2.6 we have that the element $g * g'$ has right identity $id_R(g')$ and left identity id and that the element $g' * g$ has right identity id and left identity $id_L(g')$. As, for every element of the disguised-group, its right and left identities are unique by (a) proposition 2.6, and $g * g' = g' * g$ as g conmutes with all the elements of the disguised-group, then $id_R(g') = id_L(g') = id$. Like this can be proved $\forall g' \in G$ then G is a group.

Suppose G is cyclic. Then it exists $g \in G$ for which $G = \{g^n : n \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}\}$, so for every $g' \in G$ it exists $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $g' = g^k$. Take $k \neq 1$. Writing $g' = g^{k-1} * g$ and $g' = g * g^{k-1}$ and using (a) of proposition 2.6 we conclude that every $g' \in G$ has the same right identity as g and the same left identity as g, so remembering (f) of proposition 2.6, G is a group.

Suppose G contains a normal disguised-subgroup. Let Q be that disguised-subgroup. By (iii) of proposition 3.3 for every $q_1 \in Q$ it exists $q_2 \in Q$ such that,

$$g * q_1 = q_2 * g \qquad \forall g \in G.$$

Fixed q_1 so q_2 is fixed too. By an analogue deduction as in the last case we conclude,

$$id_R(g) = id_R(q_1) \qquad \text{, and,} \qquad id_L(g) = id_L(q_2),$$

for all $g \in G$ so using (f) of proposition 2.6, G is a group. □

With the last theorem one realizes clearly the reason for the name disguised-groups. More surprising is the theorem after the next definitions, and crucial for our purposes in the future.

Definition 3.5. Let $(G, *)$ be a disguised-group and Q be a disguised-subgroup. We say Q is **disguised-normal** if $I(G) \subset Q$.

Definition 3.6. Let $(G, *)$ be a disguised-group and Q be a disguised-subgroup. Let $g \in G$ and define the subsets of G,

$$[g] = \{g * q : \ q \in Q\}.$$

Define the operation $\circledast$ as,

$$[g_1] \circledast [g_2] = [g_1 * g_2] \quad \forall g_1, g_2 \in G.$$

We define the **quotient set** G/Q with the binary operation $\circledast$ as the set which contains all the subsets $[g] \subset G$ with $g \in G$.

For the kind of disguised-normal disguised-subgroups we have a surprising theorem that we are going to call **fundamental theorem of disguised-groups**.

Theorem 3.7. *Let $(G, *)$ be a disguised-group and Q be a disguised-subgroup. If Q is disguised-normal then, $(G/Q, \circledast)$ is a group.*

Proof. From definition 3.6 it is obvious that the operation $\circledast$ is closed and associative in G/Q (because so it is $*$ in G). Let's prove that there is a unique identity in G/Q. Define $e = [id]$ for some $id \in I(G) \subset Q$ (because Q is disguised-normal). Then by remark 2.10, $[id] = Q$. Take now $id_1, id_2 \in I(G) \subset Q$. Then using twice remark 2.10,

$$\begin{aligned}[id_1 * id_2] &= \{(id_1 * id_2) * q : \ q \in Q\} = \{id_1 * (id_2 * q) : \ q \in Q\} \\ &= \{id_1 * q : \ q \in Q\} = Q.\end{aligned}$$

So, using the same reasoning for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and for all $\{id_j\}_{j=1}^n \subset I(G) \subset Q$ we have that $[id_1 * \cdots * id_n] = Q$, then we conclude that $e = Q$ is the unique identity element in G/Q. The last step requires the proof that every $[g] \in G/Q$ has an inverse in G/Q; but taking one $g \in [g]$ it is enough to see that $[g]^{-1} = [g^{-1}]$. □

Corolary 3.8. *Let $(G, *)$ be a disguised-group and Q be a disguised-subgroup. If $G \setminus Q$ contains no disguised-subgroup then $(G/Q, \circledast)$ is a group.*

Proof. If $G \setminus Q$ contains no disguised-subgroup, particularly, $G \setminus Q$ contains no $id \in I(G)$ because $\{id\}$ is a disguised-subgroup (a subgroup in fact) for all $id \in I(G)$. So $I(G) \subset Q$, then Q is disguised-normal and applying the fundamental theorem of disguised-groups 3.7 we finish. □

Corolary 3.9. *Let $(G, *)$ be a disguised-group and Q be a normal disguised-subgroup. Let $\sim$ be the following equivalence relation for every $g_1, g_2 \in G$,*

$$g_1 \sim g_2 \Leftrightarrow g_1 * g_2^{-1} \in Q$$

In that case we will say that $g_2 \in [g_1]$. Let $G/\sim$ be the set of all subsets $[g] \subset G$ for every $g \in G$, with the binary operation $\square$ defined by,

$$[g_1] \square [g_2] = [g_1 * g_2] \qquad \forall g_1, g_2 \in G.$$

Then $(G/Q, \circledast) = (G/\sim, \square)$.

Proof. As Q is a normal disguised-subgroup then G is a group by (c) theorem 3.4, so $(G/Q, \circledast)$ is a group by the traditional group theory. Knowing that G is a group, it is just an exercise to prove that $\sim$ is an equivalence relation and $(G/\sim, \square)$ is a group. For $g \in G$ let's call $[g]_1 = \{g * q : \ q \in Q\}$ and $[g]_2 = \{g' \in G : \ g * g'^{-1} \in Q\}$. We are going to prove that $[g]_1 = [g]_2$. Let $g' \in [g]_2$. Then $g * g'^{-1} \in Q$, so $g * g'^{-1} = q$ for some $q \in Q$. As G is a group then $q^{-1} * g = g'$. As Q is normal then it exists q' such that $g * q' = g'^{-1}$, so $g' \in [g]_1$. Let now $g' \in [g]_1$; then it exists $q \in Q$ such that $g' = g * q$. As Q is normal it exists $q' \in Q$ such that $g' = q' * g$; as G is a group $g * g'^{-1} = q'^{-1}$ so $g' \in [g]_2$. All in all $(G/Q, \circledast) = (G/\sim, \square)$. $\square$

4. Disguised-homomorphisms and isomorphy theorems for disguised-groups

At this moment in which we have developed and proved all the basic properties and results of disguised-groups, we are going to define the concept of disguised-homomorphism. We will consider a different category from the natural one associate with disguised groups, i.e., we are going to consider the category of disguised-groups with the morphisms between disguised-groups and groups. This fact happens for a good reason, isomorphy theorems can be proved for this category but we would not be able to prove them considering the morphisms between disguised-groups. The main reason is that the binary operation on a disguised-group is not close for the set of the identities.

Definition 4.1. Let $(G_1, *)$ be a disguised-group and $(G_2, \diamond)$ be a group. A morphism $h : G_1 \to G_2$ is said to be a **disguised-homomorphism** if,

$$h(g_1 * g_2) = h(g_1) \diamond h(g_2) \quad \forall g_1, g_2 \in G_1.$$

Definition 4.2. Let $(G_1, *)$ be a disguised-group and $(G_2, \diamond)$ be a group with identity element e. A morphism $h : G_1 \to G_2$ is said to be **disguised-injective** if,

$$\forall g, g' \in G_2 \setminus \{e\} \text{ with } g \neq g' : \ h(g) \neq h(g').$$

A homomorphism $h : G_1 \to G_2$ is said to be a **disguised-monomorphism** if it is disguised-injective, it is said to be a **disguised-epimorphism** if it is suprajective and it is said to be a **disguised-isomorphism** if it is suprajective and disguised-injective.

Two disguised-groups $(G, *)$ and $(G', \triangle)$ are said to be **isomorphic** if there exists a group $(\widetilde{G}, \square)$ and two disguised-isomorphisms h, h' such

that,



Proposition 4.3. *Let $(G_1, *)$ be a disguised-group and $(G_2, \diamond)$ be a group with identity e. Let $h : G_1 \to G_2$ be a disguised-homomorphism. Then,*

(a) $h(id) = e$ for all $id \in I(G_1)$.

(b) $h(g_1)^{-1} = h(g_1^{-1})$ for all $g_1 \in G_1$.

Proof. (a) Let $g \in G$. Using the definition of disguised-homomorphism,

$$h(g) \diamond h(id_R(g)) = h(g * id_R(g)) = h(g) = h(g) \diamond e.$$

Using now the cancellative property of the group G_2 we conclude that $h(id_R(g)) = e$. As the last proceeding can be done for every $g \in G_1$ then $h(id_R) = e$ for every id_R a right identity in G_1. By the inverse identity relations $h(id) = e$ for every $id \in I(G_1)$.

(b) Let $g_1 \in G$. By the definition of disguised-homomorphism and (a),

$$h(g_1) \diamond h(g_1^{-1}) = h(g_1 * g_1^{-1}) = h(id_L(g_1)) = e.$$

An analogue deduction can be done for $id_R(g_1)$ operating $h(g_1^{-1}) \diamond h(g_1)$. As the inverse is unique for every disguised-group (by (c) of proposition 2.6) and also for every group then (b) is true. □

Definition 4.4. Let $(G_1, *)$ be a disguised-group and $(G_2, \diamond)$ be a group with identity e. Let $h : G_1 \to G_2$ be a disguised-homomorphism. We define the **kernel** of h as the set,

$$\mathrm{Ker}(h) = \{g_1 \in G_1 : \ h(g_1) = e\} \subset G_1.$$

We define the **image** of h as the set,

$$\mathrm{Im}(h) = \{g_2 \in G_2 : \ \exists g_1 \in G_1 \text{ with } h(g_1) = g_2\} \subset G_2.$$

Proposition 4.5. *Let $(G_1, *)$ be a disguised-group and $(G_2, \diamond)$ be a group with identity e. Let $h : G_1 \to G_2$ be a disguised-homomorphism. Then Ker(h) is a normal disguised-subgroup of G_1.*

Proof. Let's prove $\mathrm{Ker}(h)$ is a disguised-group. Take $g_1, \widetilde{g}_1 \in \mathrm{Ker}(h)$. Using proposition 4.3, $\widetilde{g}_1^{-1} \in \mathrm{Ker}(h)$ because like $h(g_1) = e$ then $h(g_1^{-1}) = h(g_1)^{-1} = e^{-1} = e$. Then,

$$h(g_1 * \widetilde{g}_1^{-1}) = h(g_1) \diamond h(\widetilde{g}_1^{-1}) = e \diamond e = e.$$

Using proposition 2.9, $\mathrm{Ker}(h)$ is a disguised-group. Let's see $\mathrm{Ker}(h)$ is normal. Take $g \in G_1$ and $g_1 \in \mathrm{Ker}(h)$. Using that h is a disguised-homomorphism and proposition 4.3 we have that,

$$h(g * g_1 * g^{-1}) = h(g) \diamond h(g_1) \diamond h(g)^{-1} = h(g) \diamond h(g)^{-1} = e,$$

so $g * g_1 * g^{-1} \in \mathrm{Ker}(h)$ for all $g \in G_1$ and for all $g_1 \in \mathrm{Ker}(h)$. Remembering (b) of proposition 3.3 the proof is finished. □

Corolary 4.6. *Let $(G_1, *)$ be a disguised-group and $(G_2, \diamond)$ be a group with identity e. If it exists $h : G_1 \to G_2$ a disguised-homomorphism, then $(G_1, *)$ is a group.*

Proof. It is just necessary to use propositions 4.5 and 3.4 (c). □

From now til the end of the section we are going to declare some results, concerning disguised-groups, without proof. This is because in all of them appear the condition that exists a disguised-homomorphism so, using this last corollary 4.6, the result turn into that one from traditional groups theory. For more information about the theory of groups see [4], [5] or the great and complete book [7].

Proposition 4.7. *Let $(G_1, *)$ be a disguised-group and $(G_2, \diamond)$ be a group with identity e. Let $h : G_1 \to G_2$ be a disguised-homomorphism. Then,*

(a) If Q_1 is a disguised-subgroup of G_1 then $Q_2 = h(Q_1)$ is a subgroup of G_2.

(b) If Q_2 is a subgroup of G_2 then $Q_1 = h^{-1}(Q_2) = \{q_1 \in G_1 : h(q_1) \in Q_2\}$ is a subgroup of G_1.

(c) If Q_2 is a normal subgroup of G_2 then $Q_1 = h^{-1}(Q_2)$ is a normal subgroup of G_2.

(d) If Q_1 is a normal disguised-subgroup of G_1 and h is a disguised-epimorphism then $Q_2 = h(Q_1)$ is a normal subgroup of G_2.

In the next proposition we obtain a consequence of the definition of isomorphic disguised-groups.

Proposition 4.8. *Let $(G_1, *)$ and $(G_2, \triangle)$ be disguised-groups. If G_1 and G_2 are isomorphic then for all Q_1 disguised-normal disguised-subgroup of G_1 exists Q_2 disguised-normal disguised-subgroup of G_2 such that the quotient groups G_1/Q_1 and G_2/Q_2 are isomorphic.*

Now we are going to declare the statements called, in the theory of groups, isomorphy theorems.

Theorem 4.9. *(First isomorphy theorem for disguised-groups) Let $(G_1, *)$ be a disguised-group, $(G_2, \diamond)$ be a group and $h : G_1 \to G_2$ be a disguised-homomorphism. Then $G_1/Ker(h)$ is a group isomorphic to G_2.*

Proof. This is a direct consequence of corollary 4.6 and the first isomorphy theorem for groups. □

Let's use the notation $\approx$ to express isomorphy. Here we have the second isomorphy theorem for disguised-groups.

Theorem 4.10. *(Second isomorphy theorem for disguised-groups) Let $(G, *)$ be a disguised-group and $Q_1 \subset Q_2$ be normal disguised-subgroups of G. Then Q_1/Q_2 is a normal subgroup of the group G/Q_1 and,*

$$(G/Q_1)/(Q_1/Q_2) \approx G/Q_2.$$

Proof. This is a direct consequence of proposition 3.4 (c) and the second isomorphy theorem for groups. □

Theorem 4.11. *(Third isomorphy theorem for disguised-groups) Let $(G, *)$ be a disguised-group and Q_1 and Q_2 be disguised-subgroups of G, with Q_2 normal into G. Then $Q_1 * Q_2$ is a normal subgroup of the group G, Q_2 is a normal subgroup of Q_1 and $Q_1 \cap Q_2$ is a normal subgroup of Q_1. Furthermore,*

$$(Q_1 * Q_2)/Q_2 \approx Q_1/(Q_1 \cap Q_2)$$

Proof. This is a direct consequence of proposition 3.4 (c) and the third isomorphy theorem for groups. □

References

[1] E. BLANCO, *Homotopy groups of symmetric products*, preprint.
[2] R. BROWN, *Topology and groupoids*, Booksurge LLC, 2006.
[3] A.H. CLIFFORD and G.B. PRESTON, *The algebraic theory of semigroups*, volume I, Providence: AMS, 1964.
[4] J. DORRONSORO and E. HERNÁNDEZ, *Números, grupos y anillos*, Addison-Wesley, 1996.
[5] P. DUBREIL, *Teoría de grupos*, Reverté, 1975.
[6] J.M. HOWIE, *Fundamentals of semigroup theory*, Clarendon Press, 1995.
[7] S. LANG, *Algebra*, Springer, 2002.